

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/733,261	LEE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey T. Barton	1753	
All Participants:		Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>	
(1) <u>Jeffrey T. Barton</u> .		(3) _____.	
(2) <u>Ron Grubb</u> .		(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>9 July 2007</u>		Time: <u>11 am</u>	
Type of Interview:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No			
If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
<i>The Examiner called Mr. Grubb to require restriction between the instant claims to solar cells (1-11) and methods (12-14). Mr. Grubb indicated that Applicants elect Group 1, claims 1-11, with traverse.</i>			
Part III.			
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)		(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	